

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/691,666	10/24/2003	Jean -Francois Garin	71247-0013	6351
22902 75	590 07/14/2005		EXAMINER	
CLARK & BRODY			UNDERWOOD, DONALD W	
1090 VERMONT AVENUE, NW SUITE 250 WASHINGTON, DC 20005		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3652		
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Ŋ					
<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Andian Communication	10/691,666	GARIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Donald Underwood	3652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror , cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>none</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers		·				
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>10/24/03</u> is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica nty documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 102403.		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/691,666

Art Unit: 3652

Detailed Action

- 1. The drawing is objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) as failing to show and label return heads (claim 1) and a curtain and drum (claim 14). Correction is required. The introduction of new matter should be guarded against.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

It is unclear how the curtain and drum are constructed and related to the other elements. Clarification is required.

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, "Machine" in line should be --A machine--; "further" in line 4 should be deleted since no structure for "each half-carriage" is cited heretofore in the

Application/Control Number: 10/691,666

Art Unit: 3652

claim; --for-- should be inserted after "device" in line 6, since the belt is not positively claimed yet; a comma should be inserted after "(14)" in line 19; the phrase "and the return head on the inputside" in line 20 should be deleted since the passage compartment for the end of the receptacle output conveyor does not cooperate with the return head on the input side (See the specification, page 5, lines 31-33); the phrase "the linear guide system further comprising a mobile carriage supported by linear guide systems and composed of" in lines 22-24 should be deleted and a phrase similar to --comprising a mobile carriage-- should be inserted after "half-carriage", second occurrence, in line 25 since the linear guide systems supports the half-carriages; a phrase similar to --connected between the half-carriages and-- should be inserted after system in line 27 and --and-- inserted after "half-carriage" in line 28 in order to positively correlate the elements.

Regarding claim 2, "the", line 2, should be --a--.

Regarding claim 3, "the second end of said rigid bridge" should be given a clear antecedent basis. Note no first end is claimed.

Regarding claim 4, it appears this claim should depend from claim 3 instead of claim 4. Note "each bearing plate" in line 1 of claim 4. Also "a return device" in line 2 should be --one of said return devices--; "and" in line 3 should be deleted and "slides" in line 4 should be, correlated with "a guide slide" in line 3.

Regarding claim 5, "a rigid bridge" in line 2 should be correlated with "a rigid bridge" in claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/691,666

Art Unit: 3652

Regarding claims 6 and 7, it is unclear what structural arrangement is intended by "delimiting" and "delimit" in these claims. Clarification is required.

Regarding claim 9, this claim sets forth a desired result but no structure to provide the result and is thus incomplete.

Regarding claim 11, it appears this claim should depend from claim 2. Note "a geared motor" in claim 2.

Regarding claim 13, it appears "plane" in line 2 should be --plate--. See line 4.

Regarding claim 15, "the supporting frame" and "the transverse sides" lacks a clear antecedent basis. Correction is required.

Regarding claim 16, "any of claims" in line 1 should be changed to --claim-- and "a frame" in line 3 should be clearly separated from the upper frame and the lower frame. This could be done by changing "a frame" to --an additional frame--.

Regarding claim 17, "the transverse slides" lacks a clear antecedent basis.

Correction is required.

Regarding claim 18, "the facade" lacks a clear antecedent basis and it is unclear what structural arrangement is intended by "delimit". Clarification is required.

Regarding claim 20, "the facade" in lines 5 and 7 lacks a clear antecedent basis and "adapted" in line 6 fails to provide structure to provide the result that follows rendering the claim incomplete.

Regarding claim 21, "the reception structure displacement means" lacks a clear antecedent basis". Also "movement guide means" in claim 21 should be correlated with "displacement guide means".

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/691,666

Art Unit: 3652

Regarding claims 22-27, these claims are remiss with desired results not supported by structure and comprise structure which lacks clear antecedent and is not positively correlated with other claimed elements to define an operative device.

Correction is required.

- 6. Ritter et al. And Cooper, Jr., et al. comprise machines similar to applicant's machine.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to D. Underwood at telephone number 571-272-6933.

Underwood/vs June 29, 2005

Junel W. Underwood 07/ JINALD W. UNDERWOOD